



# Restorative Justice in the Indian Criminal System: A Shift from Punitive to Reformatory Approaches

**Dr. Asifa Parveen**

Associate Professor (Law)

Galgotias University, Greater Noida

ARTICLE DETAILS	ABSTRACT
<b>Research Paper</b>	
<b>Keywords :</b> <i>Restorative Justice, Punitive System, Criminal Law, Rehabilitation, Victim Participation, Indian Judiciary.</i>	<i>The traditional criminal justice system in India is largely punitive, focusing on retribution rather than rehabilitation. However, restorative justice has emerged as an alternative approach that emphasizes reconciliation, victim participation, and offender accountability. This paper examines the principles of restorative justice, its implementation in India, and the challenges it faces. It also explores judicial trends and legislative developments that indicate a shift from punitive measures to a more reformatory approach. The study suggests reforms to strengthen restorative justice mechanisms in India for a more balanced and humane criminal justice system.</i>

## 1.Introduction

Justice is a fundamental concept that embodies fairness, equality, and moral righteousness in legal, social, and ethical contexts. It ensures that individuals receive what they are due, whether in terms of rights, opportunities, or penalties for wrongdoing. Philosophers like Aristotle defined justice as giving each person their due, while John Rawls viewed it as fairness in the distribution of rights and resources within society.

In the legal sense, justice is the administration of laws to protect individual rights and maintain social order. It involves ensuring due process, impartiality, and proportionality in legal proceedings. Courts and judicial systems play a crucial role in interpreting and enforcing justice, balancing punishment with rehabilitation where necessary.



Social justice expands the concept beyond legal frameworks to address inequalities and systemic discrimination. It promotes equal access to opportunities, resources, and protections, ensuring that marginalized communities are not disadvantaged. Economic justice, gender justice, and environmental justice are extensions of this principle, seeking fairness in different aspects of society.

Restorative justice, a modern approach, shifts the focus from punishment to repairing harm and rehabilitating offenders. It encourages dialogue between victims and offenders, fostering reconciliation and community healing. This contrasts with retributive justice, which emphasizes punishment as a deterrent to crime.

Justice, in its broadest sense, is the foundation of a harmonious and functional society. It ensures accountability, upholds the rule of law, and fosters trust in institutions, ultimately contributing to peace and stability.

The criminal justice system is the framework of laws, institutions, and processes established by governments to maintain social order, prevent crime, and administer justice. It consists of law enforcement agencies, courts, and correctional institutions that work together to investigate crimes, prosecute offenders, and enforce legal penalties.

Various scholars and legal bodies define the criminal justice system differently. It is commonly understood as the mechanism through which society enforces laws, protects individual rights, and ensures accountability for unlawful conduct. The United Nations defines it as the set of legal and institutional frameworks designed to uphold justice, ensure due process, and rehabilitate offenders. According to Black's Law Dictionary, it encompasses the entire process from crime detection and investigation to prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, and correctional measures.

In India, the criminal justice system has undergone significant reforms with the introduction of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) 2023, replacing the Indian Penal Code, the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) 2023, replacing the Code of Criminal Procedure, and the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam (BSA) 2023, replacing the Indian Evidence Act. These changes aim to modernize criminal law, streamline procedures, and ensure faster delivery of justice. The system follows an adversarial approach where prosecution and defense present their cases before an impartial judiciary. Additionally, preventive and reformatory measures, such as juvenile justice provisions and restorative justice initiatives, are integrated to balance punishment with rehabilitation.



The Indian criminal justice system is primarily based on deterrence and retribution, with an emphasis on punishment rather than offender rehabilitation. However, this punitive approach has often failed to reduce recidivism and provide justice to victims in a meaningful way. Restorative justice offers an alternative by focusing on repairing harm, reconciling offenders with victims, and reintegrating them into society.

This research explores the evolution of restorative justice in India, its legal framework, and its potential as a transformative approach to criminal justice.

## 2. Concept and Principles of Restorative Justice

Restorative justice is an approach to criminal justice that focuses on repairing the harm caused by crime rather than solely punishing the offender. It emphasizes dialogue, accountability, and reconciliation among victims, offenders, and the community. The process often involves mediation, victim-offender conferences, and community-based programs aimed at fostering understanding and rehabilitation.

Various scholars and legal systems define restorative justice differently. John Braithwaite describes it as a process where all stakeholders affected by an injustice have an opportunity to discuss its impact and decide how to repair the harm. The United Nations defines it as a process in which the victim, offender, and other parties work collectively to resolve matters arising from the crime, often through mediation or reconciliation programs. Howard Zehr, a key figure in the field, sees it as a shift from a retributive justice model to one that prioritizes healing and repairing relationships.

In the Indian context, restorative justice aligns with traditional dispute resolution methods such as panchayati raj systems and family settlements, emphasizing conciliation over confrontation. It is increasingly being integrated into the juvenile justice system and alternative dispute resolution mechanisms.

Restorative justice is a victim-centered approach that seeks to repair the harm caused by criminal offenses. It is based on the following key principles:

1. **Victim Involvement** – Victims participate in the justice process and have a say in the resolution of the offense.
2. **Offender Accountability** – Offenders acknowledge their wrongdoing and take responsibility for their actions.
3. **Community Participation** – Communities play an active role in resolving conflicts and preventing crime.



4. **Reparation and Rehabilitation** – The focus is on compensating victims and rehabilitating offenders rather than imposing harsh punishments.

### 3. Restorative Justice in the Indian Legal Framework

#### 3.1 Constitutional Provisions

The Indian Constitution, though not explicitly recognizing restorative justice, upholds principles of justice and rehabilitation:

- **Article 21:** Right to life and personal liberty, including dignified rehabilitation.
- **Article 39A:** Equal access to justice for all, including alternative dispute resolution mechanisms.

The Indian Constitution, while not explicitly recognizing restorative justice, upholds principles of justice, rehabilitation, and human dignity through various provisions. Article 21, which guarantees the right to life and personal liberty, has been interpreted to include fair treatment, humane punishment, and the rehabilitation of offenders. The Supreme Court, in *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India* (1978), expanded the scope of Article 21, linking justice to human dignity. This aligns with restorative justice principles that emphasize reforming offenders rather than subjecting them to excessive punitive measures.

Similarly, Article 39A ensures equal access to justice, particularly for socio-economically disadvantaged groups. It mandates the provision of free legal aid and promotes alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms such as mediation and conciliation. In *Hussainara Khatoon v. State of Bihar* (1979), the Supreme Court stressed the need for speedy and fair justice, which is a core aspect of restorative justice. By emphasizing dispute resolution outside of traditional adversarial court trials, Article 39A supports victim-offender dialogue and community-based solutions.

The application of restorative justice in India is also evident in legal frameworks such as the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, which prioritizes rehabilitation over punishment for young offenders. Additionally, victim compensation schemes provide relief to victims, focusing on their recovery and well-being. Institutions like the National Legal Services Authority (NALSA) play a crucial role in promoting mediation and reconciliation, ensuring that justice is not just retributive but also restorative in nature.

Thus, while the Indian Constitution does not explicitly mention restorative justice, its fundamental principles align with the philosophy of justice, rehabilitation, and reconciliation. These constitutional safeguards reinforce a shift from a purely punitive approach to one that fosters healing and reintegration into society.

### 3.2 Statutory Provisions and Judicial Precedents

Certain laws and judicial interpretations in India have incorporated restorative justice elements:

#### 1. **The Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015**

- Focuses on reformation and rehabilitation of juvenile offenders.
- Encourages community service, counseling, and alternative care systems.

#### 2. **The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) 2023**

- **Section 359:** Allows compounding of certain offenses with victim consent, promoting reconciliation.
- **Section 289-300:** Introduces plea bargaining for lesser offenses, reducing trial burdens.

#### 3. **Mediation in Criminal Cases**

- Courts have increasingly referred criminal disputes (especially family and property disputes) to mediation.
- The Supreme Court in *Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co.* (2010) recognized mediation as a valid tool in criminal disputes.

#### 4. **Victim Compensation Schemes**

- Introduced under Section 357A of CrPC, providing financial support and rehabilitation to victims.

### 4. Judicial Approach Toward Restorative Justice

Indian courts have played a significant role in advancing restorative justice:

#### 1. **Gian Kaur v. State of Punjab (1996)**

- Emphasized the right to a dignified life, indirectly supporting rehabilitation over excessive punishment.

#### 2. **State of Gujarat v. Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat (1998)**

- Recognized the need for reformatory approaches in sentencing policies.

#### 3. **Shiv Kumar v. Hukam Chand (1999)**

- Highlighted victim participation in the justice process.



#### 4. **Mohammed Giasuddin v. State of Andhra Pradesh (1977)**

- The Supreme Court observed that criminal law should aim at rehabilitation rather than mere punishment.

### 5. **Challenges in Implementing Restorative Justice in India**

Despite its potential, restorative justice faces significant challenges in India:

1. **Lack of Awareness** – Many legal practitioners and law enforcement officials are unfamiliar with restorative justice principles.
2. **Limited Victim Participation** – Victims often hesitate to engage in reconciliation due to fear, social stigma, or distrust in the system.
3. **Resistance from Law Enforcement** – Police and prosecutors are often reluctant to adopt non-punitive approaches.
4. **Absence of a Comprehensive Legal Framework** – Unlike other countries, India lacks a dedicated restorative justice law.
5. **Inconsistent Judicial Interpretations** – Courts have varied in their approach toward restorative justice, leading to inconsistencies in implementation.

### 6. **Comparative Analysis: Restorative Justice in Other Jurisdictions**

#### 6.1 Canada

- Strong focus on victim-offender mediation and community sentencing circles.
- Integrated restorative justice programs within the mainstream legal system.

#### 6.2 New Zealand

- The **Children, Young Persons, and Their Families Act, 1989** institutionalized restorative justice for juvenile offenders.

#### 6.3 South Africa

- The **Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC)** played a pivotal role in using restorative justice for post-apartheid reconciliation.

#### 6.4 Lessons for India:

- Institutionalizing victim-offender mediation.



- Establishing dedicated restorative justice centers.
- Incorporating community-based sentencing models.

India can learn valuable lessons from global restorative justice practices to enhance its criminal justice system. One key step is institutionalizing victim-offender mediation, where structured dialogue between the victim and the offender can promote accountability and healing. This approach has been successfully implemented in countries like Canada and Norway, leading to reduced recidivism and greater victim satisfaction. By integrating such mediation programs into India's judicial process, particularly in cases involving minor offenses, the legal system can emphasize reconciliation over punishment while ensuring justice for all parties involved.

Another crucial step is establishing dedicated restorative justice centers to facilitate mediation, counseling, and rehabilitation. These centers could operate alongside courts and correctional institutions, providing structured environments for conflict resolution. Countries like South Africa and New Zealand have adopted such models, offering specialized services to victims and offenders, including psychological support and reintegration programs. In India, these centers could be established at district levels, providing accessible platforms for restorative dialogues and alternative dispute resolution mechanisms.

Additionally, incorporating community-based sentencing models can help shift the focus from incarceration to rehabilitation. Countries like the United States and the Netherlands have experimented with sentencing frameworks that involve community service, restitution, and rehabilitation programs instead of imprisonment for non-violent crimes. In India, adopting such models within the judicial framework—especially for juvenile offenders and first-time convicts—can contribute to reducing prison overcrowding while fostering social reintegration. Community-based sentences can also strengthen local involvement in the justice process, making crime prevention and rehabilitation a collective effort. However, efforts have been made by the Indian government in this direction but it is not enough. It needs to be made more comprehensive. By adopting these measures, India can move towards a more balanced criminal justice system that prioritizes healing, reconciliation, and long-term societal harmony over retributive justice alone.

## **7. Recommendations for Strengthening Restorative Justice in India**

To make restorative justice a core part of India's criminal justice system, the following reforms are suggested:

1. **Legislative Reforms** – Enacting a dedicated Restorative Justice Act to formalize mediation and reconciliation in criminal cases.
2. **Judicial Training** – Educating judges and legal practitioners on restorative justice principles.
3. **Victim Support Mechanisms** – Strengthening victim compensation programs and providing psychological support.
4. **Expansion of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)** – Encouraging mediation and community sentencing in minor offenses.
5. **Public Awareness Campaigns** – Informing the public about the benefits of restorative justice.

## 8. Conclusion

Restorative justice has the potential to transform India's criminal justice system by shifting the focus from retribution to rehabilitation and reconciliation. While significant strides have been made through judicial interventions and legislative provisions, a comprehensive legal framework is needed to institutionalize restorative justice practices. By learning from international models and addressing existing challenges, India can develop a more humane, victim-centered, and effective justice system.

## References

### Primary Sources (Legislation & Judgments)

1. The Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973. (India).
2. The Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015. (India).
3. Gian Kaur v. State of Punjab, (1996) 2 SCC 648 (India).
4. State of Gujarat v. Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat, (1998) 7 SCC 392 (India).
5. Shiv Kumar v. Hukam Chand, (1999) 7 SCC 467 (India).
6. Mohammed Giasuddin v. State of Andhra Pradesh, (1977) 3 SCC 287 (India).
7. Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co. (2010) 8 SCC 24 (India).

### Secondary Sources (Books & Journal Articles)

8. Bazemore, G., & Schiff, M. (2015). *Juvenile Justice Reform and Restorative Justice: Building Theory and Policy from Practice*. Willan Publishing.
9. Braithwaite, J. (2002). *Restorative Justice & Responsive Regulation*. Oxford University Press.





10. Daly, K. (2016). *What is Restorative Justice? Fresh Answers to a Vexed Question*. Restorative Justice: An International Journal, 4(1), 23-40.
11. Dutta, P. (2021). *Restorative Justice in India: Prospects and Challenges*. Indian Journal of Criminology, 49(2), 35-52.
12. Garkawe, S. (2006). *Restorative Justice from the Perspective of Crime Victims*. International Review of Victimology, 13(2), 101-120.
13. Johnstone, G. (2011). *Restorative Justice: Ideas, Values, Debates*. Routledge.
14. Menkel-Meadow, C. (2007). *Restorative Justice: What is it and Does it Work?* Annual Review of Law and Social Science, 3, 161-187.
15. Prasad, D. (2020). *Restorative Justice in Indian Legal Framework: Judicial Trends and Legislative Provisions*. The Indian Law Review, 4(3), 277-294.
16. Van Ness, D. W., & Strong, K. H. (2014). *Restoring Justice: An Introduction to Restorative Justice (5th ed.)*. Anderson Publishing.

#### **International Comparative Studies & Reports**

17. New Zealand Ministry of Justice. (2018). *Restorative Justice in the Criminal Justice System: A Comparative Analysis*. Wellington: Government Press.
18. Truth and Reconciliation Commission of South Africa. (1998). *Report on Restorative Justice and Conflict Resolution in Post-Apartheid South Africa*. Cape Town.
19. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2020). *Handbook on Restorative Justice Programs (2nd ed.)*. Vienna: UNODC.
20. Zehr, H. (2015). *The Little Book of Restorative Justice (Revised and Updated)*. Good Books.