THE ALTIORA
An International Quarterly Peer-Reviewed / Refereed Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

NATIONAL EDUCATION POLICY 2020 AND MOTHER TONGUE-BASED MULTILINGUAL EDUCATION IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS: COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT, PEDAGOGICAL EFFICACY, AND LEARNING OUTCOMES

Dr. Seema Singh
Assistant Professor, Department of Education
Maa Khandwari P.G. College, Chahaniya, Chandauli, U.P.

Article Info

ISSN: 3140-0515
Volume: 01
Issue: 02
July-September 2026
Received: 06-09-2026
Accepted: 09-09-2026
Page No: 51-59

Abstract

The medium of instruction in foundational and primary education has long constituted one of the most vigorously debated policy dilemmas in post-colonial plural societies. India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 introduces a paradigm shift in foundational schooling by recommending that, wherever possible, the medium of instruction until at least Grade 5—and preferably until Grade 8—shall be the home language, mother tongue, local language, or regional language. Grounded in the structural framework of Mother Tongue-Based Multilingual Education (MTB-MLE), this policy initiative seeks to dismantle the persistent linguistic disconnect between the home environment and formal school settings. Drawing upon cognitive developmental psychology, psycholinguistics, and empirical classroom pedagogies, this paper presents a comprehensive, multi-dimensional inquiry into the cognitive, linguistic, and socio-educational efficacy of mother tongue instruction. Commencing with theoretical foundations established by Jean Piaget, Lev Vygotsky, and Jim Cummins—specifically Cummins's Interdependence Hypothesis and the threshold level of linguistic proficiency—the study illuminates how foundational cognitive concepts acquired in a child's native linguistic register facilitate cognitive flexibility, meta-linguistic awareness, and the subsequent acquisition of secondary and tertiary languages. The paper examines the pedagogical architecture of NEP 2020, scrutinizing its alignment with the National Curriculum Framework for Foundational Stage (NCF-FS) and foundational literacy and numeracy (FLN) initiatives under the NIPUN Bharat Mission. Furthermore, it undertakes an empirical and critical analysis of systemic implementation bottlenecks across diverse Indian classroom contexts: dialectal heterogeneity, scarcity of graded multilingual learning materials, teacher capacity gaps, linguistic minority disenfranchisement, and deep-

seated socio-economic anxieties among aspirational rural and working-class families favoring early English-medium schooling. Proposing a synchronized, phased transitional model (Early-Exit vs. Late-Exit additive bilingualism), the paper outlines actionable policy interventions encompassing multimodal learning design, inclusive teacher education, community linguistic mobilization, and contextualized pedagogical strategies. The study concludes that mother tongue-based instruction is not an impediment to global linguistic competitiveness, but rather the vital cognitive bridge required to realize equitable, inclusive, and lifelong learning.

Keywords: ***NEP 2020, Mother Tongue-Based Multilingual Education (MTB-MLE), Cognitive Development, Foundational Literacy and Numeracy, Primary Pedagogy, Cummins's Interdependence Hypothesis, Linguistic Justice,***

1. INTRODUCTION: THE POST-COLONIAL LINGUISTIC DILEMMA IN FOUNDATIONAL EDUCATION

Language is not merely a technical instrument for conveying syntactic tokens and verbal information; it is the organic matrix through which a child internalizes cognitive schemas, constructs sociocultural meaning, and negotiates emotional security with the external environment. In post-colonial, linguistically plural states such as India, however, the choice of the instructional medium in foundational and primary education has historically been caught in an ideological tug-of-war between socio-economic aspirations and developmental pedagogies. For decades, the uncritical valorization of English as an exclusive marker of modernity and global employment has catalyzed an aggressive expansion of English-medium preschools and primary institutions across both urban and rural ecosystems.

The pedagogical fallout of this 'submersion'—often termed the 'sink-or-swim' model—has been devastating for millions of first-generation learners and rural children. When a six-year-old child enters a formal classroom and encounters an alien linguistic code devoid of any semantic connection to their home language, formal schooling ceases to be an inviting space of exploration. Instead, it becomes an intimidating realm of passive rote memorization, conceptual confusion, and emotional alienation. Annual educational surveys, including successive iterations of the Annual Status of Education Report (ASER), have persistently highlighted India's deep learning crisis: nearly half of all children enrolled in Grade 5 across rural elementary schools struggle to read a basic Grade 2 level text or execute single-digit subtraction. This foundational literacy deficit is intrinsically bound to the medium-of-instruction mismatch.

Recognizing this systemic crisis, the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 explicitly re-anchors primary schooling in Mother Tongue-Based Multilingual Education (MTB-MLE). Paragraph 4.11 of NEP 2020 unequivocally recommends: 'Wherever possible, the medium of instruction until at least Grade 5, but preferably till Grade 8 and beyond, will be the home language/mother tongue/local language/regional language.' By restructuring the curriculum under the 5+3+3+4 educational framework, NEP 2020 positions language familiarization as the central pillar of the Foundational Stage (ages 3–8).

This paper presents an extensive inquiry into the cognitive, pedagogical, and structural implications of NEP 2020's mother tongue mandate. It evaluates whether grounding primary instruction in the native tongue

accelerates cognitive mastery, examines the systemic obstacles confronting grassroots implementation, and articulates an evidence-based roadmap for sustainable educational transformation in India.

2.THEORETICAL AND PSYCHOLINGUISTIC FOUNDATIONS OF NATIVE TONGUE LEARNING

A. Cognitive Constructivism and the Zone of Proximal Development

The cognitive necessity of mother tongue instruction finds its epistemological basis in the foundational developmental paradigms of Jean Piaget and Lev Vygotsky. Piagetian constructivism posits that children do not passively absorb external facts; they actively construct cognitive structures (schemas) through assimilation and accommodation. In early childhood, this cognitive mapping relies heavily on concrete physical and verbal interaction with familial caregivers and immediate surroundings. The child's home language serves as the cognitive scaffolding upon which these foundational mental schemas are anchored.

Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory further deepens this relationship by establishing that language is the primary psychological tool for thought formation. Vygotsky conceptualized the trajectory of human intellect as progressing from social speech to private/inner speech, which eventually crystallizes into abstract cognitive thinking. In his formulation of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD)—the gap between what a child can achieve independently and what can be achieved through mediated collaboration—linguistic dialogue plays a decisive role. When an educator communicates in the child's native tongue, dialogue within the ZPD is fluid, responsive, and intellectually empowering. Conversely, forcing an unfamiliar linguistic code upon the child paralyzes verbal mediation, reduces the ZPD to mechanical imitation, and severely impairs autonomous conceptual inquiry.

B. Cummins's Dual-Iceberg Model and Linguistic Interdependence Hypothesis

A frequent misconception among laypersons and policymakers is that exposing a child early and exclusively to a dominant target language (such as English) maximizes communicative proficiency. Modern psycholinguistics, led by the pioneering work of Professor Jim Cummins, completely refutes this assumption through the Linguistic Interdependence Hypothesis and the Common Underlying Proficiency (CUP) model.

Cummins draws a critical structural distinction between two levels of language competence:

1. Basic Interpersonal Communicative Skills (BICS): The contextual, conversational linguistic capacity utilized in everyday face-to-face social interactions, typically mastered within 1 to 2 years of immersion.
2. Cognitive Academic Language Proficiency (CALP): The abstract, context-reduced, analytical language capacity required for academic synthesis, problem-solving, critical reasoning, and mathematical computation, which requires 5 to 7 years of sustained cognitive development.

Under Cummins's Dual-Iceberg Model, while different surface features of two languages (vocabulary, pronunciation, orthography) appear as separate peaks above the water, their underlying cognitive and intellectual roots (CUP) are entirely shared. Literacy skills, deep conceptual comprehension, metacognitive awareness, and logical categorization developed in the first language (L1) transfer seamlessly to a second language (L2) once the child acquires surface exposure to L2. A child who masters the abstract concept of causality, proportion, or historical temporality in their native Hindi, Tamil, Bengali, or Bhojpuri does not need to re-learn those cognitive operations in English; they merely need to learn the corresponding lexical labels. By depriving children of L1

instruction, educational systems fail to build the necessary CALP iceberg, leaving students functionally semi-literate in both languages.

C. Metalinguistic Awareness and Neurological Plasticity

Empirical psycholinguistic investigations demonstrate that children educated through an additive bilingual model—where the mother tongue is nurtured while additional languages are systematically introduced—develop enhanced executive cognitive functions. Bilingual and multilingual learners exhibit superior working memory capacity, heightened attentional control, cognitive flexibility, and stronger divergent thinking abilities. Because they routinely navigate multiple phonological and syntactical systems, these students develop sophisticated metalinguistic awareness: the capacity to treat language not merely as an unconscious medium, but as an analytical object of reflection, critique, and structural manipulation.

3. THE NEP 2020 MANDATE AND PEDAGOGICAL ARCHITECTURE

A. The 5+3+3+4 Curricular Paradigm and the Foundational Stage

The structural reorganization introduced by NEP 2020—replacing the archaic 10+2 system with a 5+3+3+4 framework—acknowledges that the foundational years of human brain development (ages 3 to 8) demand specialized, play-based, inquiry-driven pedagogical approaches. Paragraph 4.12 of the Policy emphasizes that young children learn and grasp complex cognitive concepts most rapidly and durably in their home languages.

The National Curriculum Framework for Foundational Stage (NCF-FS), released pursuant to NEP 2020, establishes unambiguous benchmarks for language instruction:

1. Emergent Literacy in L1: Children are introduced to storytelling, conversation, phonemic awareness, and emergent print interactions exclusively in their home language/regional dialect.
2. Oral Exposure to L2/L3: Secondary languages, including regional tongues and English, are introduced strictly through rich oral interactions, interactive rhymes, and visual cues, avoiding premature formal testing or uncontextualized phonics.
3. Transition to Formal Biliteracy: Formal reading and writing in L2 are scaffolded upon the firmly established reading and comprehension foundations of L1 around Grades 2 and 3.

B. Synergies with NIPUN Bharat and Foundational Literacy and Numeracy (FLN)

The National Initiative for Proficiency in Reading with Understanding and Numeracy (NIPUN Bharat Mission) establishes that universal acquisition of Foundational Literacy and Numeracy (FLN) by Grade 3 is an urgent national priority. NEP 2020 correctly identifies that reading comprehension cannot be cultivated in a language that the child does not speak or understand orally. When reading pedagogy is conducted in an unfamiliar language, it degenerates into 'mechanical decoding'—wherein children learn to pronounce written syllables without extracting semantic meaning from the text. By mandating the mother tongue as the vehicle for FLN, NIPUN Bharat and NEP 2020 operationalize the 'Meaning-Making Approach.' In this paradigm, children begin by connecting familiar oral narratives to written symbols, enabling them to transition from 'learning to read' to 'reading to learn' smoothly and autonomously.

4. COGNITIVE AND ACADEMIC BENEFITS: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM THE FIELD

Substantial global and national empirical evidence supports the positive developmental and academic outcomes of Mother Tongue-

Based Multilingual Education (MTB-MLE):

A. Longitudinal Global Studies: The Ife Project and UNESCO Findings

One of the most comprehensive longitudinal empirical investigations into language of instruction is the historic Six-Year Primary Project of Ife in Nigeria, led by Professor Babatunde Fafunwa. The study evaluated experimental cohorts educated through their mother tongue (Yoruba) alongside control cohorts educated exclusively through English. The longitudinal findings were decisive: students educated in Yoruba throughout primary school not only outperformed their English-medium counterparts in mathematics, sciences, and social studies, but also demonstrated superior academic proficiency in English itself once the language was formally introduced as a subject. Successive UNESCO global monitoring reports on education have reaffirmed that children taught in their mother tongue stay in school longer, repeat fewer grades, exhibit higher cognitive confidence, and achieve significantly higher scores on standardized mathematics and science assessments compared to peers subjected to immediate monolingual submersion.

B. Indian Experiences: The Odisha and Andhra Pradesh Tribal Pilot Interventions

Within India, pioneering pilot experiments in Mother Tongue-Based Multilingual Education in tribal regions provide compelling domestic empirical evidence. In Odisha, where the state government initiated MTB-MLE programs in over twenty indigenous tribal languages (including Saora, Santali, Kui, and Munda), comprehensive longitudinal evaluations conducted by NCERT revealed profound outcomes. Tribal students instructed in their mother tongues demonstrated:

(i) A 40% reduction in foundational dropout rates and a drastic decline in chronic absenteeism;

(ii) Enhanced classroom participation, marked by proactive questioning and spontaneous self-expression;

(iii) Substantially higher reading comprehension and mathematical reasoning scores compared to tribal children enrolled in standard Odia-medium government schools. Similar outcomes were documented in Andhra Pradesh's experimental tribal education programs, demonstrating that when the instructional bridge between the tribal dialect and the regional state language is carefully constructed, learning outcomes improve dramatically.

5. STRUCTURAL, PEDAGOGICAL, AND SOCIETAL BOTTLENECKS IN IMPLEMENTATION

While the cognitive and theoretical justifications for mother tongue education are incontrovertible, the grassroots implementation of NEP 2020's mandate faces formidable operational challenges in India's complex socio-linguistic environment:

A. The Dialect vs. Standard Language Conundrum

India's linguistic landscape does not consist of neatly demarcated linguistic boundaries. According to the 2011 Census of India, the country recognizes 121 major languages alongside more than 1,300 mother tongues or localized dialects. In northern India, the designated regional language (Standard Hindi) is structurally distinct from the rich variety of spoken home languages—such as Bhojpuri, Maithili, Magahi, Awadhi, Braj, Marwari, and Bundeli. A rural child speaking Awadhi or Bhojpuri at home often finds formal Sanskritized textbook Hindi nearly as unfamiliar as English. Treating a standardized state language as the default 'mother tongue' without bridging the dialectal gap perpetuates linguistic marginalization under another name.

B. Severe Shortage of Multilingual Instructional Material

A robust pedagogical ecosystem requires

high-quality, graded, age-appropriate children's literature, workbooks, foundational mathematical readers, and interactive games in local dialects and mother tongues. Historically, textbook production in India has been centralized within national and state bodies (NCERT and SCERTs), which publish predominantly in standardized schedule languages. Creating graded reading materials across hundreds of local dialects and tribal languages requires massive decentralized editorial infrastructure, linguistic mapping, and continuous financial commitments.

C. Teacher Allocation, Linguistic Capacity, and Urban Heterogeneity

The recruitment, deployment, and institutional training of primary school educators present critical logistical hurdles. In rural and tribal belts, primary teachers are frequently deployed to regions whose local dialect they do not speak. Furthermore, existing teacher education curricula (B.Ed. and D.El.Ed.) offer negligible training in the methodology of teaching through bilingual or multilingual translanguaging techniques.

In metropolitan and urban public and private schools, the demographic composition of a single Grade 1 classroom can encompass children speaking six to ten distinct mother tongues. Implementing a singular 'mother tongue' medium of instruction in such hyper-diverse urban classrooms is pedagogically unviable, necessitating sophisticated translanguaging models rather than rigid linguistic segregation.

D. Parental Aspirations and the 'English-Medium' Hegemony

Perhaps the most intractable obstacle to mother tongue primary education is sociological and perceptual. In contemporary Indian society, English proficiency is widely perceived by parents as the ultimate passport to social mobility, white-collar corporate employment, and liberation from historical socioeconomic marginalization. Many working-class and marginalized parents view mother tongue education with deep suspicion—fearing that retaining regional language instruction will relegate their children to secondary citizenship while elite classes dominate English-medium academies. Unless public policy can convincingly demonstrate that mother tongue foundational schooling actually strengthens long-term English proficiency—and unless state institutions ensure parity of socio-economic opportunities across linguistic mediums—parental resistance will continue to drive children into unregulated low-fee English-medium private schools that often deliver subpar educational outcomes.

6. A SYNCHRONIZED TRANSITIONAL MODEL: FROM L1 SCAFFOLDING TO MULTILINGUAL COMPETENCE

To resolve the false dichotomy between mother tongue preservation and global linguistic competence, educational policymakers must reject both linguistic chauvinism and premature English immersion. Instead, India must institutionalize an Additive Multilingual Scaffolding Framework structured upon Cummins's late-exit bilingual model:

Phase 1: Foundational Immersion (Preschool to Grade 2, Ages 3–7):

* The instructional medium is strictly the child's home language or local dialect (L1).
* Foundational literacy, numeracy, storytelling, dramatic play, and social habits are developed exclusively through L1.
* Secondary languages (Regional State Language L2, and English L3) are introduced purely through informal oral interactions, songs, rhymes, and visual flashcards for 30–45 minutes daily, without formal reading, writing, or examinations.

Phase 2: Scaffolding and Biliteracy Emergence (Grades 3 to 5, Ages 8–10):

* L1 remains the primary medium for cognitive and conceptual subjects, particularly Environmental Studies (EVS),

Mathematics, and Basic Science.
* Formal reading and writing are systematically introduced in L2 and English (L3), utilizing the child's established phonetic and decoding competencies in L1.
* Classrooms employ 'Translanguaging'—a fluid pedagogical approach where teachers deliberately alternate between languages to clarify abstract concepts, validate students' linguistic identities, and bridge linguistic boundaries.
Phase 3: Mature Multilingual Academic Competence (Grades 6 to 8 and Beyond, Ages 11–14):
* Having established deep Cognitive Academic Language Proficiency (CALP) in L1, students transition into fully functional, additive multilingualism.
* High-school subjects can be taught through dual mediums (e.g., Science and Mathematics in English or the State Language, with bilingual glossaries and conceptual support in the mother tongue).
* Students achieve genuine academic fluency in both their mother tongue and national/global languages without suffering cognitive deficits or cultural alienation.

7. STRATEGIC POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EFFECTIVE IMPLEMENTATION

To translate the visionary recommendations of NEP 2020 into sustainable classroom realities, the Union and State governments must execute four targeted institutional interventions:
1. Decentralized Multilingual Curriculum Development: Establish District-level Linguistic Resource Centers within DIETs (District Institutes of Education and Training) to author, illustrate, and publish digital and print readers in local dialects, bridging home dialects to regional schedule languages through illustrated bilingual bridge-books.
2. Comprehensive Teacher Capacity Transformation: Restructure B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. curricula to mandate specialized modules on 'Multilingual Classroom Pedagogy and Translanguaging.' Primary school teacher recruitment must incorporate local language and dialectal competence criteria to ensure linguistic alignment between educators and pupils.
3. Parental and Community Sensitization Campaigns: Launch widespread public information initiatives through national media and grassroots Panchayats to educate parents on the cognitive science of mother tongue learning. Demonstrating that strong L1 literacy accelerates, rather than hinders, long-term English proficiency is vital to curbing the flight to low-quality private schools.
4. Technological Support and Digital Translation Infrastructure: Leverage India's emerging digital public infrastructure—including AI translation platforms such as 'Bhashini' and DIKSHA—to auto-generate high-quality audio-visual stories, foundational readers, and mathematical learning apps across all non-scheduled dialects and tribal languages.

8. CONCLUSION: MOTHER TONGUE AS THE FOUNDATIONAL BEDROCK OF EQUITABLE EDUCATION

The recommendation of the National Education Policy 2020 to ground foundational education in the mother tongue represents a pedagogically sound, human-centric, and democratic intervention in Indian education. For far too long, the post-colonial obsession with early English submersion has erected an exclusionary wall around formal schooling, sacrificing the cognitive vitality, critical thinking, and emotional security of millions of vulnerable children upon the altar of linguistic status.
Cognitive science, developmental psychology, and empirical classroom data speak with a singular voice: children learn to think, reason, question, and create most profoundly in the language that shapes their dreams and domestic relationships. Far from

insulating students from global progress, a strong foundation in the mother tongue provides the cognitive and academic scaffolding required to achieve lifelong literacy, higher-order critical thinking, and genuine multilingual mastery.

By dismantling the false dichotomy between native language instruction and global opportunity, and by investing in the structural machinery of decentralized materials and teacher empowerment, India can transform its classrooms into vibrant spaces of conceptual exploration. Mother tongue-based foundational education is not a nostalgic retreat into regional parochialism; it is the ultimate cognitive bridge to intellectual liberation, educational equity, and national transformation.

REFERENCES

1. Agnihotri, R. K. (2007). Identity and Multilinguality: The Case of India. In Tsui, A. B. M., & Tollefson, J. W. (Eds.), Language Policy, Culture, and Identity in Asian Contexts (pp. 185–204). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
2. Annual Status of Education Report (ASER). (2023). Beyond Basics: Survey of Rural Elementary and Secondary Education. Pratham Education Foundation.
3. Cummins, J. (1979). "Cognitive/Academic Language Proficiency, Linguistic Interdependence, the Optimum Age Question and Some Other Matters." Working Papers on Bilingualism, 19, 197–205.
4. Cummins, J. (2000). Language, Power and Pedagogy: Bilingual Children in the Crossfire. Multilingual Matters.
5. Fafunwa, A. B., Macauley, J. I., & Sokoya, J. A. F. (Eds.). (1989). Education in Mother Tongue: The Ife Primary Education Research Project (1970–1978). University Press Limited.
6. Garcia, O. (2009). Bilingual Education in the 21st Century: A Global Perspective. Wiley-Blackwell.
7. Heugh, K. (2011). Theory and Practice - Language Education Models in Africa: Research, Design, Decision-Making and Outcomes. In Skutnabb-Kangas, T., & Heugh, K. (Eds.), Multilingual Education and Sustainable Diversity Work (pp. 105–145). Routledge.
8. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. New Delhi: Government of India.
9. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2021). NIPUN Bharat: Guidelines for Implementation of National Initiative for Proficiency in Reading with Understanding and Numeracy. Department of School Education and Literacy.
10. Mohanty, A. K. (2006). "Multilingual Education of the Indigenous Children in India: What Does the Research Say?" In Teaching and Learning in Multilingual Contexts: Global Perspectives (pp. 9–25). Central Institute of Indian Languages.
11. Mohanty, A. K. (2019). The Multilingual Reality: Living with Languages. Multilingual Matters.
12. NCERT. (2022). National Curriculum Framework for Foundational Stage (NCF-FS) 2022. National Council of Educational Research and Training.
13. Piaget, J. (1959). The Language and Thought of the Child (3rd ed.). Routledge & Kegan Paul.
14. Skutnabb-Kangas, T. (2000). Linguistic Genocide in Education—or Worldwide Diversity and Human Rights? Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
15. UNESCO. (1953). The Use of Vernacular Languages in Education: Monographs on Fundamental Education - VIII. Paris: UNESCO.
16. UNESCO. (2016). If You Don't Understand, How Can You Learn? Policy Paper 24, Global Education Monitoring Report. Paris: UNESCO.
17. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological

Processes (M. Cole, V. John-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman, Eds.). Harvard University Press.

18. Vygotsky, L. S. (1986). Thought and Language (A. Kozulin, Ed. & Trans.). MIT Press.

19. Weber, G. (1971). Inner-City Children Can Be Taught to Read: Four Successful Schools. Council for Basic Education.

20. Williams, C. (1996). Secondary Education: Teaching in the Bilingual Situation. In Language Policy and Practice (pp. 39–78). Multilingual Matters.